



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,356	01/12/2006	Shin Yazawa	283520US0PCT	7829

22850 7590 05/14/2008

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

1940 DUKE STREET

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

PESELEV, ELLI

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1623

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/14/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com

oblonpat@oblon.com

jgardner@oblon.com

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/564,356

**Applicant(s)**

YAZAWA ET AL.

**Examiner**

Elli Peselev

**Art Unit**

1623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Elli Peselev.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David P. Stitzel.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 May 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 21-31, 54 and 56.

Identification of prior art discussed: the Japanese Patent (11-60592) and Edno et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Attorney will consider limiting the positive-charge-providing substance in claim 21 to aliphatic amines of claims 26 and 27 and may be providing additional of evidence of unexpected results using different liposomes. Applicant's arguments and any amendments and/or evidence will be given due consideration when received.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Elli Peselev/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.